Message

Shea, Valois [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP From:

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS]

Sent: 3/14/2017 9:53:53 PM

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) To:

Subject: RE: proposed uranium recovery project in the southern Black Hills of South Dakota

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Thank you for emailing me your comments on the draft UIC Dewey-Burdock permitting actions. I have added your email to the list of public comments received. I have also added you to my contact list to keep you informed on future EPA actions related to the site.

Here is the link to the EPA UIC program website that contains all the information in the Administrative Record, in case you do not already have it:

https://www.epa.gov/uic/administrative-record-dewey-burdock-class-iii-and-class-v-injection-well-draftarea-permits

The public comment period is in effect through May 19, 2017, in case you have any additional comments after reviewing this information.

Thank you! Valois

Valois Shea

U.S. EPA Region 8 MailCode: 8WP-SUI 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202-1129 Phone: (303) 312-6276 Fax: (303) 312-6741

Email: shea.valois@epa.gov

----Original Message---

From: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Sent: Saturday, March 11, 2017 4:32 PM
To: Shea, Valois <Shea. Valois@epa.gov>

Subject: proposed uranium recovery project in the southern Black Hills of South Dakota

Dear Ms Shea,

How about NOT approving the mining of uranium? How about NOT approving an exemption allowing toxic wastewater to be injected into an aquifer? We already know how toxic uranium mining can be; I am from tas Decisional Principies, and am quite familiar with cases where people bodies and homes are forever contaminated by mining operations, so my vote is that we don't do that anymore.

Thank you!

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)